

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
MACON DIVISION**

ROSALIND MANSON, :  
: .  
Plaintiff, :  
: .  
v. : Case No. \_\_\_\_\_  
: .  
: .  
PORTFOLIO RECOVERY :  
ASSOCIATES, LLC :  
: .  
Defendant. :  
: .

COMES NOW YOUR PLAINTIFF Rosalind Manson and files her Complaint for Damages against the Defendant named above and shows the following:

**I. Introduction**

1. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC sought to collect a consumer debt from Rosalind Manson alleging Manson owed the sum \$1,964.43.
2. When question about this amount, Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC sent Ms. Manson's attorneys information from the original creditor indicating Ms. Manson could not owe the amount Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC sought to collect.
3. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC was penalized (in the amount of 27 million dollars) by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau in September of 2015 for Portfolio Recovery Associates LLC's rampant violations of the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act. The actions described herein are a continuation of those abusive practices and demonstrate a pattern and practice of wilful disregard for the rights of consumers.

4. Defendant's actions are abusive debt collection practices prohibited by federal law, specifically the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 which found "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices" by debt collectors and those practices "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy."

## **II. Parties, Jurisdiction, and Venue**

5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Houston County, Georgia.

6. Defendant is a national company with offices and collection centers in various states but actively collect debts from consumers in the Middle District.

7. Defendant is a Delaware Limited Liability Company that transacts business in the State of Georgia. Defendant is not authorized to transact business in the State of Georgia but does so nevertheless. Defendant maintains offices at 120 Corporate Boulevard, Norfolk, Virginia 23502.

8. Summons and Complaint may be served on Defendant by service on Corporation Service Company, located at Defendant's registered 120 Corporate Boulevard, Norfolk, Virginia 23502.

9. Defendant was in fact doing business in this state at all times relevant to this action through their various collection efforts.

10. Defendant directed communications to Plaintiff using means of interstate commerce while Plaintiff resided in this judicial district.

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of claims arising under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 *et seq.*, which invokes federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

12. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction with regard to Plaintiff's state law claims and under the doctrine of supplemental jurisdiction as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2).

### **III. Law Relevant to Plaintiff's Claims**

14. The FDCPA was enacted to protect individuals from abusive debt collection practices because Congress found such practices were rampant in the debt collection industry.

15. Under the FDCPA, a "consumer" is a natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any debt. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

16. Under the FDCPA, “debt” means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction primarily for personal, family, or household purposes. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

17. Under the FDCPA, a “debt collector” is any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due to another. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

18. Any debt collector who fails to comply with the provisions of the FDCPA is liable for any actual damages sustained, statutory damages up to \$1,000, and attorney’s fees as determined by the Court and costs of the action. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

19. Similarly, Georgia’s Fair Business Practices Act (“GFBPA”) was enacted to protect the consumer marketplace from false, deceptive, or misleading acts:

[The purpose of the GFBPA] shall be to protect consumers and legitimate business enterprises from unfair or deceptive practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce in part or wholly in the state. It is the intent of the General Assembly that such practices be swiftly stopped, and this part shall be liberally construed and applied to promote its underlying purposes and policies.

O.C.G.A. § 10-1-391

20. The GFBPA, O.C.G.A. § 10-1-399(a), provides:

Any person who suffers injury or damages as a result of . . . consumer acts or practices in violation of this part, . . . or whose business or property has been injured or damaged as a result of such violations may bring an action individually, but not in a representative capacity, against the person or persons engaged in such violations under the rules of civil procedure to seek equitable injunctive relief and to recover his general and exemplary damages sustained as a consequence thereof in any court having jurisdiction over the defendant; provided, however, exemplary damages shall be awarded only in cases of intentional violation. [ . . . ]

21. A violation of the FDCPA is a violation of Georgia's Fair Business Practices Act. *See e.g. 1st Nationwide Collection Agency Inc v Werner*, 288 Ga. App. 457 (2007).

#### **IV. Factual Allegations**

##### The alleged debt is a consumer debt

22. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant was seeking to collect a debt allegedly owed to Synchrony Bank.

23. The alleged debt was for consumer purposes.

24. Defendant was acting as a debt collector while attempting to collect the alleged debt.

25. The alleged debt was in default at the time Defendant acquired the debt and at the time Defendant attempted to collect the alleged debt.

##### Defendant seeks to collect an amount not authorized by the underlying contract

26. On or about July 20, 2016, the Defendant sent a dunning letter to the Plaintiff detailing a several payment plans.

27. A true and accurate copy of the July 20, 2016 dunning letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

28. The July 20, 2016 letter shown in Exhibit "A" indicates Defendant alleges Plaintiff owed the sum of \$1, 964.43 to the Defendant.

29. Plaintiff disputed this debt and requested validation of the debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692.

30. Defendant responded to Plaintiff's dispute on or about October 15, 2016.

31. Defendant's response to Plaintiff's dispute contained information from the alleged original creditor.

32. Information provided by the Defendant from the alleged original creditor indicates the amount sought by the Defendant is not the amount owed on the account.

## **V. Damages**

33. Congress enacted the FDCPA to protect consumers from widespread abuse by sophisticated debt collectors.

34. Plaintiff suffered actual injuries the seek to prevent.

35. Plaintiff has suffered an injury in fact because her statutory rights have been invaded and because she has actually been confused by Defendant's actions.

36. Plaintiff has Article III standing to bring these claims against the Defendant.

**Count I – Violations of the FDCPA**

37. Paragraphs 1 through 36 are incorporated herein by reference.

38. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant violated the FDCPA by sending letters containing false representations, misleading representations, and engaging in deceptive means in furtherance of their debt collection business, seeking to collect amounts not authorized by contract or statute, and engaging in unfair and unconscionable acts as described hereinabove, in violation of 15 USC § 1692 *et seq.*

39. Defendant's actions were intended to cause the Plaintiff harm (or were negligently carried out without regard to their consequence) and succeeded in so doing.

40. Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

41. Plaintiff is entitled to recover statutory damages in an amount to deter such future conduct by the Defendant in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact but in excess of \$500.00.

42. Plaintiff is entitled to recover her attorneys' fees and expenses of litigation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k).

**Count II – Violations of the GFBPA**

43. Paragraphs 1 through 42 are incorporated herein by reference.
44. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant violated the GFBPA by violating the FDCPA.
45. Defendant's actions harm the consumer marketplace because they unfairly place consumers at a disadvantage.
46. Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for its violations of the GFBPA.
47. Defendant's violations are willful and intentional authorizing the trebling of damages.
48. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant three times her actual and statutory damages.
49. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant her attorneys' fees and expenses of litigation.

**Count III - Negligence**

50. Paragraphs 1 through 49 are incorporated herein by reference.
51. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant is liable for the negligence of its employees, attorneys, and agents resulting in the Plaintiff's damages as alleged herein.
52. Defendant had various duties under Georgia and federal law to treat the Plaintiff fairly and in a manner that was not abusive.

53. Defendant breached those duties as alleged herein.
54. Defendant's conduct and omissions are the proximate cause of the damages suffered by the Plaintiff in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

**Demand for a Jury Trial**

55. Trial by jury is hereby demanded.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for the following:

- a) Actual and statutory damages in an amount in excess of \$500.00;
- b) The trebling of actual and statutory damages for Defendant's intentional violations of the GFBPA;
- c) The award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to the GFBPA, O.C.G.A § 10-1-399(d), and FDCPA in an amount to be proven at trial but in excess of \$3,000.00;
- d) Pre- and post-judgment interest, if applicable;
- e) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, necessary or appropriate.

Submitted this 21st day of May, 2017.

/s/ Ronald Edward Daniels

Ronald Edward Daniels

Georgia Bar No. 540854

DANIELS LAW LLC  
P.O. BOX 1834  
Perry, Georgia 31069  
Tel. 478-227-7331  
[ron@dlawllc.com](mailto:ron@dlawllc.com)

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

/s/ Clifford Carlson

Clifford Carlson

Georgia Bar No. 227503

Cliff Carlson Law, P.C.  
1114-C1 Highway 96 #347  
Kathleen, Georgia 31047  
Tel. 478-254-1018  
[cc@cliffcarlsonlaw.com](mailto:cc@cliffcarlsonlaw.com)

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF